

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated August 12, 2004. Claims 1, 3 to 10, 12, 13, 17 and 25 to 28 are in the application, of which Claims 1, 5, 13, 17 and 25 to 28 are independent. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 to 13, 17 and 25 to 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections because a mobile device and a movement destination of a mobile device are clearly disclosed in the Specification. For example, an embodiment of the present invention depicted in Figure 2 is described as a “portable information terminal.” (page 13 of the Specification). In another embodiment, for example, a list representing the number of devices having a fast moving speed or a slow moving speed is produced. (page 43 of the Specification). Moreover, the Specification discloses the sending, acquiring, and storing of positional information of devices, which move into and out of (or “dropby”) blocks (areas) and zones, and this stored positional data can be used to determine the moving path of a device. (page 40 of the Specification). However, the claims have been amended to correspond more closely to the exact language used in the Specification. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael K. O'Neill
Michael K. O'Neill
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No.: 32,622

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 98543v2